

## Maximal ideals in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$

A bit of notation and background: Given a polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and a prime  $p$ , we denote by  $\bar{f} \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$  the polynomial obtained by reducing the coefficients of  $f \pmod p$ . For example, if  $f(x) = 100x^3 + 3x^2 + 5x - 1$  and  $p = 3$ , then  $\bar{f} = x^3 + 2x + 2$ .

We will also review a version of the Gauss Lemma. This is not strictly necessary for our discussion, but is convenient. We call a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  *primitive* if its coefficients are relatively prime. Note that any non-zero polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  has a constant multiple  $cf(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  which is primitive.

**Lemma 0.1.** *If  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  are both primitive, then  $fg$  is also primitive.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $p$  is a prime dividing the coefficients of  $fg$ . Then reducing mod  $p$ , we would have  $\bar{f}\bar{g} = 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . But  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$  is an integral domain, so this implies  $\bar{f} = 0$  or  $\bar{g} = 0$ . Hence,  $p$  must divide all coefficients of  $f$  or of  $g$ , contradicting primitivity.  $\square$

**Corollary 0.2.** *Suppose  $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a multiple in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  of the primitive polynomial  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Then  $a$  is a multiple of  $g$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .*

*Proof.* We are assuming that  $a = fg$  with  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ . Write  $f = cf_1$ , where  $f_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is primitive and  $c \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ . So  $a = cf_1g$ . Since  $a \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , we know that  $cb_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  for every coefficient  $b_i$  of  $f_1g$ . But by the previous lemma, we know that the  $b_i$  are relatively prime. So we can find integers  $n_i$  such that  $\sum_i n_i b_i = 1$ . This implies that  $c = c(\sum_i n_i b_i) = \sum_i n_i (cb_i)$  is an integer. So  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 0.3.** *Suppose  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a primitive polynomial and denote by  $f(x)\mathbb{Q}[x]$  the ideal it generates in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . Then  $[f(x)\mathbb{Q}[x]] \cap \mathbb{Z}[x] = f(x)\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , the ideal generated by  $f(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .*

Of course, we are denoting the ideal generated by a polynomial in a slightly cumbersome manner in the previously corollary to avoid confusion about the ring in which the ideal sits.

**Proposition 0.4.** *Let  $M \subset \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a maximal ideal. Then  $M$  is of the form*

$$M = (p, f(x)) \tag{0.1}$$

where  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a polynomial such that  $\bar{f}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$  is irreducible.

To put it differently, to generate a maximal ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , we should choose a prime  $p$  and an irreducible polynomial  $f_0 \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . We then lift  $f_0$  any way we want to a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , that is, so that  $\bar{f} = f_0$ . Then  $(p, f) \subset \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a maximal ideal, and all maximal ideals are obtained in this way. By the way, you should check that the ideal is independent of the choice of lift  $f$ .

Here are some examples:

$$(2, x^2 + x + 1) = (2, x^2 + 3x - 1) \tag{0.2}$$

$$(3, x^3 + x^2 + 2) \tag{0.3}$$

$$(5, x^2 - 3) \tag{0.4}$$

We now proceed to prove the proposition. Firstly, given  $p$  and  $f$  as in the proposition, we have

$$\mathbb{Z}[x]/(p, f(x)) = \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(\bar{f}(x)).$$

The second quotient ring is a field since  $\bar{f}$  is assumed irreducible. So  $(p, f(x))$  is a maximal ideal. Now assume that  $M \subset \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is an arbitrary maximal ideal and denote by  $k$  the quotient ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/M$ , which of course is a field. Consider the composition

$$\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow k := \mathbb{Z}[x]/M \tag{0.5}$$

of the two natural maps

$$i : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x] \tag{0.6}$$

and

$$\pi : \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow k. \tag{0.7}$$

**Lemma 0.5.** *The map  $\phi$  is not injective.*

*Proof.* (of Lemma) Suppose  $\phi$  were injective. Then, since  $k$  is a field,  $\phi$  would extend to an injection  $\Phi : \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow k$ . By sending  $x$  to the element  $\pi(x)$ , we see therefore that the natural projection  $\pi$  also extends to a homomorphism  $\Pi : \mathbb{Q}[x] \rightarrow k$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}[x] & \xrightarrow{\pi} & k \\ \downarrow & \searrow \Pi & \\ \mathbb{Q}[x] & & \end{array}$$

The map  $\Pi$  is clearly surjective, since  $\pi$  already is. Now, if  $\Pi$  were injective, we would have an isomorphism  $\mathbb{Q}[x] \simeq k$ , which we can't because  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  is not a field. Therefore,  $\text{Ker}(\Pi) = (g(x))$  for a non-zero polynomial  $g$ , which must then be irreducible. By replacing  $g$  with a non-zero constant multiple, we can assume that  $g$  is a primitive polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . We thus have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) \simeq k.$$

But this would imply that the natural map  $\mathbb{Z}[x] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[x]$  induces a surjection

$$\mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g).$$

By corollary (0.3), this would induce an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}[x]/(g) \simeq \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g).$$

It should be plausible that this is a contradiction, as we will now go on to show. Write

$$g(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$$

with  $a_n \neq 0$ . Therefore, in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(g)$  we have

$$a_n \bar{x}^n + \cdots + a_1 \bar{x} + a_0 = 0.$$

So we could write

$$\bar{x}^n = (-a_{n-1}/a_n) \bar{x}^{n-1} + \cdots + (-a_1/a_n) \bar{x} + (-a_0/a_n).$$

That is,  $\bar{x}^n$  can be written as a linear combination of the lower powers with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}[1/a_n]$ . Using this and an easy induction, we deduce that any element of  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(g)$  can be written as a linear combination of elements in the set

$$B = \{1, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^2, \dots, \bar{x}^{n-1}\}$$

with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}[1/a_n]$ . However,

$B$  is linearly independent in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(g)$ .

This is clear since a linear relation

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i \bar{x}^i = 0$$

implies

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i x^i \in (g(x)).$$

But then, by examining degrees, we must have  $c_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . Now take any prime  $p$  that doesn't divide  $a_n$ . Then  $1/p$  cannot be spanned by  $B$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}[1/a_n]$ .  $\square$

We return to the proposition. We know now that  $\text{Ker}(\phi) = (n)$  for some  $n$  non-zero. However, since the image of  $\phi$  is an integral domain  $n$  must be a prime  $p$ . Therefore, we must have  $p \in M$  for some prime  $p$ . Recall that the maximal ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  that contain  $p$  are in bijection with the maximal ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/p \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . So  $M/(p) = (f_0(x))$  for an irreducible polynomial  $f_0 \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . But then  $M = (p, f)$  for any lift  $f$  of  $f_0$ , as was to be shown.